

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,253	04/13/2001	Christopher J. Moulios	113748-5760US 5020	
27189	7590 12/13/2006		EXAMINER	
PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP			SELLERS, DANIEL R	
530 B STREE SUITE 2100	530 B STREET SUITE 2100		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92101			2615	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/835,253	MOULIOS, CHRISTOPHER J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Daniel R. Sellers	2615				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. 8 133)				
Status			·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 O</u>	ctober 2006					
		action is non-final.					
3)	,—	ndition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
-,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		·				
4\⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-14 and 16-21</u> is/are pending in the application.						
اکار.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,10-14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
·	The drawing(s) filed on 13 April 2001 is/are: a)		by the Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • •	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		: :					
Attachmen	t(s)						
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

- 2. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.
- 3. Regarding **claim 1**, the Office's "Interim Guidelines for Examination of Patent Applications for Patent Subject Matter Eligibility" provides guidance on the determination of statutory matter. Claim 1 discloses a method with various steps, and this method is determined, by consulting the specification, to be embodied by a computer product (specification, p. 2-3, para. 0001-0010). This is deemed to be acceptable, since the specification defines a computer product as a computer-readable medium storing a computer program (para. 0002-0003).

However, claim 1 embodies an abstract idea, which has been determined to be a judiciary exception. The claimed subject matter does not provide a practical application of the abstract idea, or judiciary exception. A useful, concrete, and tangible resulting from the abstract idea is evidence of a practical application. Claim 1 provides no useful, concrete, or tangible result, and therefore is non-statutory.

4. Claims 2-5, 7-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 USC 101, because they depend upon claim 1. See the preceding argument with respect to claim 1, these claims do not provide a practical application.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 2615

5. Regarding **claim 11**, a computer product is claimed, which is also apparently a system. This is deemed to be acceptable, since the specification defines a computer product as a computer-readable medium storing a computer program (para. 0002-0003). However, see the preceding argument with respect to claim 1, this claim does not provide a practical application of an abstract idea, and therefore is non-statutory.

- 6. Claims 12-14 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 USC 101, because they depend upon claim 11. See the preceding argument with respect to claim 11, these claims do not provide a practical application, and therefore is non-statutory.
- Regarding **claim 18**, the claim recites a system comprising a processor and a storage medium holding instructions so that the processor can perform a determination. This language, when compared and contrasted with independent claims 1, 11, and 21 and the specification, is claiming a computer product or abstract idea. Claim 18 is non-statutory because the abstract idea claimed does not provide a practical application with a useful, concrete, and tangible result.
- 8. Claims 19-20 are rejected under 35 USC 101, because they depend upon claim
 18. See the preceding argument with respect to claim 18, these claims do not provide a practical application, and therefore is non-statutory.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-14, and 16-20 are allowable if the 35 USC 101 rejection outlined above is overcome.
- 10. Claim 21 is allowed.

Art Unit: 2615

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claim 1 is allowable, because the prior art of record does not teach nor suggest "digitally comparing a first portion of a recorded signal, starting from an established anchor point with at least one different portion of the recorded signal subsequent to the anchor point, wherein the at least one different portion is extrapolated from the first portion." The prior art also does not teach or suggest the feature of refining the length of the portions, wherein the recurring events are "digitally comparing a pattern included in the first portion with patterns included by the at least one different portion, wherein the portions all have the same length."

As stated, on p. 10 of the reply received 10/4/06, Marx teaches digitally comparing patterns of portions of a song with predetermined linear time grids, or patterns. This does not suggest comparing subsequent portions, or loops, in the same recorded signal with the first established loop.

Claims 2-5, 7-8, and 10 are allowed because they depend on claim 1.

Claim 11 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 12-14 and 16-17 are allowed because they depend on claim 11.

Claim 18 is allowable for the same reason as claim 1.

Claims 19 and 20 are allowed because they depend on claim 18.

Claim 21 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1. Marx does not teach comparing the a first and a second portion of the same recorded signal, but instead teaches comparing the first **or** other portions of the same recorded signal with

Application/Control Number: 09/835,253

Art Unit: 2615

predetermined patterns. This does not suggest or make obvious the claimed subject matter.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

12. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel R. Sellers whose telephone number is 571-272-7528. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9am to 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on (571)272-7564. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DRS

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER